1	LAWRENCE A. MICHAELS (SBN 107260), lam@msk.com VERONICA VON GRABOW (SBN 259859), vtv@msk.com		
2	MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP 11377 West Olympic Boulevard		
3	Los Angeles, California 90064-1683		
4	Telephone: (310) 312-2000 Facsimile: (310) 312-3100		
5	LINDA MILLER SAVITT (SBN 094164)		
6	BALLARD, ROSENBERG, GOLPER & SAVITT LLP 500 North Brand Boulevard, Twentieth Floor Glendale, California 91203-9946		
7	Telephone: (818) 508-3700		
8	Facsimile: (818) 506-4827		
9	CAROL A. HUMISTON (SBN 115592) SENIOR ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY – CITY OF BURBANK		
10	275 East Olive Avenue Burbank, California 91510 The control of the		
11	Telephone: (818) 238-5707 Facsimile: (818) 238-5724		
12	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant CITY OF BURBANK, including the POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BURBANK (erroneously sued as an		
13	independent entity named "BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT")		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
16	OMAR RODRIGUEZ; CINDY GUILLEN- GOMEZ; STEVE KARAGIOSIAN; ELFEGO	Case No. BC 414602	
17	RODRIGUEZ; AND JAMAL CHILDS,	Judge: The Honorable Joanne O'Donnell Location: 37	
18	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER	
19	V. DUDD AND DOLICE DEDARTMENT, CITY	RE: CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION	
20	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF BURBANK; AND DOES 1 THROUGH	PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT BURBANK TO PLAINTIFF STEVE KARAGIOSIAN	
21	100, INCLUSIVE,	FOLLOWING IN CAMERA REVIEW;	
22	Defendants.	[PROPOSED] REFEREE RECOMMENDATION; [PROPOSED]	
23	BURBANK POLICE DEPARTMENT; CITY OF BURBANK,	ORDER	
24	Cross-Complainants,	File Date: May 28, 2009 Trial Date: April 13, 2011 (Plff. Guillen);	
25	V.	June 8, 2011 (Plff. Karagiosian); July 27, 2011 (Plff. O. Rodriguez)	
26	OMAR RODRIGUEZ, an Individual;	Discovery Referee: Hon. Diane Wayne, Ret.	
27	Cross-Defendant.		
Mitchell 28 Silberberg & Knupp LLP			

Knupp LLP 3859991.2

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Silberberg & Knupp LLP 3859991.2

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- This Protective Order applies to and governs the use and disclosure of the following Confidential Information which the Court ordered Defendant City of Burbank¹ ("Burbank" or "Defendant") to disclose to Plaintiff Steve Karagiosian ("Karagiosian" or "Plaintiff"):
 - Memorandum from Irma Rodriguez Moisa to Police Chief Tim Stehr and Chief Assistant City Attorney Juli Scott, dated May 20, 2008.
 - b. Investigative report prepared for City of Burbank and submitted by Sergio Bent, Esq., dated January 27, 2009.
 - Audio recordings of Sergio Bent's interviews with Steve Karagiosian, dated August 11, 2008, August 26, 2008, and November 25, 2008.
- The term "Confidential Information" shall mean and include the documents listed in Paragraphs 1(a) through (c) above, any and all portions thereof, and all documents of whatever kind containing information set forth in or obtained from these documents. Burbank shall mark any documents produced that constitute or contain Confidential Information with a label designating them as "Confidential: Subject to Protective Order."
- The term "Action" shall mean Rodriguez et al. v. Burbank Police Department et 3. al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 414602.
 - The term "Plaintiff" shall mean Steve Karagiosian, a plaintiff in this Action. 4.
- The term "Co-Plaintiffs" shall mean, collectively, Omar Rodriguez, Cindy Guillen-5. Gomez, Elfego Rodriguez, and Jamal Childs, co-plaintiffs in this Action.
- Plaintiff's counsel of record in this Action shall use the Confidential Information 6. solely for the purposes of Plaintiff's litigation in this Action, and shall not disclose any portion of the Confidential Information to any other person, firm or corporation except:
 - Bona fide employees of counsel's law offices, and then only to the extent necessary to enable said persons to assist in Plaintiff's litigation of this Action;

¹ Including the Police Department of the City of Burbank (erroneously sued as an independent entity named "Burbank Police Department").

Mitchell 28
Silberberg & Knupp LLP

3859991.2

- b. Plaintiff, to the extent necessary for the prosecution of Plaintiff's claims in this Action;
- c. Bona fide expert witnesses employed by Plaintiff in this Action, to the extent necessary to render an expert opinion in connection with Plaintiff's claims in this Action;
- d. Court reporters, to the extent necessary to take or transcribe testimony in connection with Plaintiff's claims in this Action; and
- e. The Court, to the extent necessary for a motion or other matter pending before the Court in connection with Plaintiff's claims in this Action.
- 7. Plaintiff's counsel of record in this Action alone will retain the Confidential Information and at no time may a copy of any Confidential Information be made for or provided to the persons described in Paragraphs 6(a) and (b) above. Copies of Confidential Information may be made for and provided to Plaintiff's designated experts in this Action, Oliver "Lee" Drummond and R. William Mathis, Ph.D., pursuant to Paragraph 6(c) above, provided Mr. Drummond and Dr. Mathis sign the Protective Order and agree to be bound by its terms. Copies of Confidential Information provided to court reporters and/or the Court pursuant to Paragraphs 6(d) or (e) above shall be governed by and handled in accordance with Paragraph 9 below. At no time and under no circumstances may Confidential Information be disclosed or provided to any person not listed in Paragraph 6 above, or to any Co-Plaintiffs or any other party to litigation with the City (other than Plaintiff) or his/her agents or representatives, even if they fall within the categories delineated in Paragraph 6 above (such as if a party to another lawsuit becomes employed at Plaintiff's counsel's office or is a purported expert for Plaintiff).
- 8. All persons described in Paragraphs 6(a) through (e) above shall not disclose any portion of the Confidential Information and shall not use any information obtained therefrom except in conformance with this Protective Order and for purposes of Plaintiff's litigation in this Action. Any party who discloses Confidential Information to any person described in Paragraphs 6(a) through (d) shall advise such person that said matters constitute Confidential Information which may be used only for the litigation of this Action, and shall, prior to disclosure of the

Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP

Confidential Information, have such person execute a written Understanding and Agreement to be bound by this Stipulation for Protective Order in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

- 9. Any deposition testimony that encompasses or concerns Confidential Information shall be transcribed in a separate booklet that is marked on its cover "Confidential: Do Not Disclose Except By Court Order." Any document that contains Confidential Information that is marked as an exhibit at a deposition shall be bound in the separate booklet marked "Confidential: Do Not Disclose Except By Court Order." Deposition transcripts containing Confidential Information and bearing this marking shall not be disclosed except as provided in Paragraph 6 above. In addition, any documents containing Confidential Information that are submitted to the Court shall be filed or lodged in a sealed envelope marked "Confidential: Subject to Protective Order."
- 10. Plaintiff's counsel are directed to retain all copies of documents, notes, or summaries containing Confidential Information in their custody, possession and control and to take the necessary precautions to prevent persons not authorized above from obtaining access to any such Confidential Information.
- 11. Production of the Confidential Information protected by this Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or confidentiality or privacy right. The parties retain the right to assert all substantive objections to the Confidential Information, including but not limited to relevancy, hearsay, privacy, and privilege.
- 12. At the conclusion of this action, all documents containing Confidential Information, all copies and extracts thereof, with the exception of those documents affected by the attorney work-product doctrine or attorney-client privilege, shall be returned to counsel for Burbank. As to those documents protected by the attorney work-product doctrine or attorney-client privilege, Plaintiff and his counsel agree that any and all such documents shall either be redacted and returned to Burbank or shall be destroyed.

1	Dated: LAW OFF Solomon E	ICES OF RHEUBAN & GRESEN Gresen		
2		-0		
3		on E. Gresen		
4	4 Attorn	eys for Plaintiffs OMAR RIGUEZ; CINDY GUILLEN-		
5	5 GOMI	EZ; STEVE KARAGIOSIAN; GO RODRIGUEZ; AND JAMAL		
6				
7		L SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP		
8	Lawrence Veronica v	A. Michaels on Grabow		
10				
10	Lawre	ence A. Michaels		
	Cross-	neys for Defendants and -Complainant CITY OF BURBANK,		
12	THE (ing the POLICE DEPARTMENT OF CITY OF BURBANK (erroneously		
13	"BUR	s an independent entity named BANK POLICE DEPARTMENT")		
14				
15	I, Oliver "Lee" Drummond, have read and understand the foregoing Stipulation For			
16	Protective Order Re: Confidential Information Produced By I	Protective Order Re: Confidential Information Produced By Defendant Following In Camera		
17	Review and agree to be bound by its terms.			
18	8 Dated: OLIVER "	LEE" DRUMMOND		
19	9			
20	0			
21	1			
22	I, R. William Mathis, PH.D., have read and understand the foregoing Stipulation For			
23	Protective Order Re: Confidential Information Produced By Defendant Following In Camera			
24	Review and agree to be bound by its terms.			
25	5 Dated: R. WILLIA	AM MATHIS, PH.D.		
26	6			
27	7			
28	8			

Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 3859991.2

1 [PROPOSED] REFEREE RECOMMENDATION 2 For good cause shown, it is recommended that the Court issue a Protective Order in 3 accordance with the foregoing Stipulation For Protective Order Re: Confidential Information 4 Produced By Defendant Following In Camera Review. 5 6 DATED: , 2011 The Hon. DIANE WAYNE, Retired 7 By: DIANE WAYNE 8 Discovery Referee 9 10 11 12 [PROPOSED] ORDER 13 14 The Discovery Referee's recommendation above is hereby adopted by the Court. 15 IT IS SO ORDERED in accordance with the foregoing Stipulation For Protective Order 16 Re: Confidential Information Produced By Defendant Following In Camera Review. 17 18 19 DATED: , 2011 By: 20 THE HON. JOANNE O'DONNELL Presiding Judge 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

6

Mitchell

Silberberg & Knupp LLP

3859991.2

EXHIBIT 1 UNDERSTANDING AND AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER I have read the Stipulation for Protective Order in Rodriguez et al. v. Burbank Police Department et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 414602. I understand the Protective Order and agree to be bound by its terms. Dated: __ (Print Name) (Signature)

Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 3859991.2